UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,232	03/23/2006	Philippe G. Nantermet	21546YP	5429
210 MERCK AND	7590 08/03/200 CO., INC	9	EXAM	IINER
P O BOX 2000			WARD, PAUL V	
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/573,232	NANTERMET ET	ΓAL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	PAUL V. WARD	1624				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>PAUL V. WARD</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>JOHN TODARO</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>30 July 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)∏ No.					
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <a href="Examiner informed applicant that a response to the Office Action dated 12-17-2009">Examiner informed applicant that a response to the Office Action dated 12-17-2009</a> was not received. However, Applicanted stated that the Office Action was never received, and that the only Office Action that was received was the Restriction requirement dated 8-19-08, and that Applicant responded to that Restriction.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/PAUL V WARD/ Evaminar Art Unit 1624						